

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,823	11/06/2001	Terry V. Clapp	476-2050.1	8712
7	590 01/07/2004		EXAM	INER
Lee, Mann, St McWilliams, S	mith, weeney & Ohlson		KIANNI, I	CAVEH C
P.O. Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2786		2877		
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Examiner		Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner							
Revin C Kianni 2377	Office Action Summary		,				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of an may be saviable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1-13(6). In no event, however, may a realy be timely filed to the provision of the pro	omec Action Cummary						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. As an an an analysis of the provided of the communication. If the period for phys specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will apple 5X (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for phys specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will apple 5X (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for phys specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will apple 5X (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office bits maintrear oranize after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any analysis and the maximum statutors are provided to the schemular and parted term adjustment. See 37 CFR 17-04(f). Status 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on \$\textit{OB November 2001}. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is formation in a condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parter Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Is a pare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is a system withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Is a part objected to be a particular objected to be a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\frac{1}{2}\$\) 112 (a) (a) (b) (c) (a) (b) (c) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	The MAN INC DATE of the control of	I					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time rapy be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a); in no event, however, may a righty be timely filed after 50 k (b) MAITHS from the mailing false of this communication. **Provision of the provision of the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a); in no event, however, may a righty be timely filed after 50 k (b) MAITHS from the mailing false of this communication. **False of righty within the set or extended protect for righty with the stability provided malphy and will exerge 3.0 k (b) MAITHS from the mailing date of this communication. **False of right years are recorded protected from the provision of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 (s/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
2a] This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final. 3	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will, by standard period for reply will be office later than three months after the maximum standard the maximum standard period for reply will be office.	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ever a reply within the statul riod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	at, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the action to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a] This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final. 3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 November 20	01.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☐ Claim(s)	· - · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5.7-10 and 13-17 is/are rejected. 7(□ Claim(s) 6.11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Ci	,			seacution as to the morits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on o6_ November_2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 6November_2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 6November_2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6,11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Portsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. Patent and Trackmark Office			sideration.				
To Claim(s) 6.11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 50 ☐ Other:	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Patent Parallal Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 61 ☐ Other:	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected	1 .					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. Patent and Trademark Office	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5. Patent and Trademark Office	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2001</u>	is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b)⊠ object	ed to by the Examiner.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. Patent and Trademark Office	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Paffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		eign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 10 Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ante have heer	received				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				on No.			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) ☐ Other:							
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:							
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:		•	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:	37 CFR 1.78.		•				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) S. Patent and Trademark Office	•	•		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) S. Patent and Trademark Office	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:			4) 🔲 Interview Summarv	(PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	•	5) D Notice of Informal P				
	3) L Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)	6)				
	.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Offic	e Action Summer	, JA	Part of Paper No. 3			

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings (specifically <u>figure 2</u>) are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show clearly essential elements of the invention in relations to each other such as a tapered polymer 110 as stated in specification page 10, lines 13-16, relative to figure 2; input signal 108; and strip loaded waveguide 106; a node in a communication network with an optical transmitter and a receiver as described in the specification, page 6, lines 9-12. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be <u>clearly</u> shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim8 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation 'the device further comprising' in claim 8, line 27 has insufficient antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required; the limitation "the unit comprising two of said optical waveguide devices" in claim 11, lines 6-7 has insufficient antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required; claim 11 would be allowable once the correction is made and it incorporates all limitations of the base claim.

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: a node, in a communication network having an optical transmitter and an optical receiver, with that of an optical waveguide device having a certain optical input and output.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 6 is allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious wherein at least a portion of said material is adiabatically tapered such that at least one of the optical-signal coupling from the wave-guide to the material, or from the material to the wave-guide, is substantially adiabatic in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 11 would be allowable once it is rewritten in corrected form, as stated above, because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render

Art Unit: 2877

obvious the splitter means arranged to split an optical signal input to said unit so as to send a portion of the input signal to a respective input of each waveguide device, the splitting means being arranged such that the signals received at the respective optical waveguides have an electrical field parallel to the respective strip waveguides in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim. Claim 12 depends to claim 11 and therefore it would be also allowable.

Claim Rejections -

35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

and - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chandross et al.(Chandross) (US 6,002,823).

Regarding claim 17, Chandross teaches a method of manufacturing an optical waveguide device (shown in at least figures 1 and 2; col. 3, lines 20-64+), the method comprising the steps of: forming an optical input (see figures 1 and 2, item input section of the waveguide structure/layers formed for receiving input signal Pi; col. 2, lines 12-40); forming an optical output (see fig. 1 and 2, item output section of the waveguide structure for outputting output signal Po; col. 2, lines 12-40); forming an optical waveguide comprising a strip-loaded waveguide connected between said input and said output (see col. 5, lines 48-51; wherein, as shown in at least figures 1-3, item waveguides 12 and 13 are strip loaded waveguides formed on the waveguide structure); and positioning a material adjacent at least a portion of said waveguide such that an optical signal transmitted along the waveguide will couple in and out of said additional material (see fig. 13, item polymer 41 filling the coupling region 14 between the waveguides 12 and 13 and light/signals are transmitted in and out of polymer41 between the waveguides 12 and 13; see abstract and col. 4, 51-54).

9. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandross et al. (US 6,002,823).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,823

Art Unit: 2877

Regarding claim 1, Chandross teaches an optical waveguide device (shown in at least fig. 1, see abstract) comprising:

at least one optical input Pi for receiving an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); at least one optical output Po for the output of an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); and an optical waveguide connected between said input Pi and said output Po (see item waveguide between input Pi and output Po); wherein said optical waveguide comprises a strip loaded waveguide 12/13 (see col. 5, lines 48-51; wherein, as shown in at least figures 1 and 3, item waveguides 12/13 are strip loaded waveguides formed on the waveguide structure), and the device further comprises an additional material positioned adjacent at least a portion of the waveguide (see col. 3, lines 58-63 and col. 4, lines 51-54), the material having a refractive index, such that an optical signal guided by the waveguide will at least partially couple into the material (see fig. 13, item polymer 41 filling the coupling region 14 between the waveguides 12 and 13 and light/signals are transferred through/via polymer41 between the waveguides 12 and 13; see abstract and col. 4, 51-54).

However, Chandross does not specifically teach wherein the above material having a higher refractive index than the waveguide. Nevertheless, Chandross states that the material has a refractive index that optically couples the waveguides 12 and 13 (see abstract and col. 4, lines 51-54). Thus, It would have been to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to use the above material with a high refractive index than the waveguide an obvious matter of design choice, since applicant has not disclosed that the choice of having a material with higher index of refraction

Application/Control Number: 09/993,823

Art Unit: 2877

than the waveguide solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the material (polymer), since the reference as well as the claimed invention both use substantially the same materials for waveguide structures, and because such choice of material would provide a tunable coupler with improved tuning sensitivity and response (see col. 1, lines 48-51).

Regarding claims 2-5 and 7, Chandross further teaches wherein said additional material has optical properties that can be controllably altered (see abstract); wherein said material is an electro-optic material (see col. 3, lines 58-67); wherein said material is selected from the group consisting of a polymer or other oligomer, dendrimer, liquid crystal or supramolecular system (see col. 3, lines 58-67); wherein said waveguide is formed from silica on silicon (see at least col. 2, lines 12-20); a cladding layer, said cladding layer being arranged to substantially overlay the additional material (see col. 5, lines 56-65).

Regarding claim 8, Chandross teaches an optical unit (shown in at least fig. 1, see abstract) for the optical processing of an optical signal (this recitation has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951)), the unit comprising:

at least one optical input Pi for receiving an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); at least one optical output Po for the output of an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); and an optical waveguide connected between said input Pi and said output Po (see item waveguide between input Pi and output Po); wherein said Art Unit: 2877

optical waveguide comprises a strip loaded waveguide 12/13 (see col. 5, lines 48-51; wherein, as shown in at least figures 1 and 3, item waveguides 12/13 are strip loaded waveguides), and the device further comprises an additional material positioned adjacent at least a portion of the waveguide (see col. 3, lines 58-63 and col. 4, lines 51-54), the material having a refractive index, such that an optical signal guided by the waveguide will at least partially couple into the material (see fig. 13, item polymer 41 filling the coupling region 14 between the waveguides 12 and 13 and light/signals are transferred through/via polymer41 between the waveguides 12 and 13; see abstract and col. 4, 51-54), the unit further comprising control means 43 arranged to alter the optical properties of said material (see col. 4, lines 45-54). Regarding the limitation the material having a higher refractive index than the waveguide, the arguments presented in rejection of claim 1, above, is analogous in rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claims 9-10 and 13, Chandross further teaches the unit being arranged to perform the functions of at least one of a tunable filter, a modulator, a demodulator, a switch, a polarization mode dispersion compensator or a chromatic dispersion compensator (shown at least in at least fig. 1, item transmitted signal Pi in waveguide 12 is switched to waveguide 13 having output Po; see also background of the prior art in which tunable couplers are used for as filters col. 1, lines 9-18+); wherein said waveguide is arranged as at least one of a Mach-Zehnder, a ring resonator, or an arrayed waveguide grating (see col. 1, lines 9-15); a light source arranged to provide an optical input to said device (see col. 2, lines 14-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,823

Art Unit: 2877

Regarding claim 16, Chandross teaches a method of using an optical wavequide device (shown in at least fig. 1; col. 3, lines 20-64+), the optical waveguide device comprising: at least one optical input Pi for receiving an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); at least one optical output Po for the output of an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); and an optical waveguide connected between said input Pi and said output Po (see item waveguide between input Pi and output Po); wherein said optical waveguide comprises a strip loaded waveguide 12/13 (see col. 5, lines 48-51; wherein, as shown in at least figures 1 and 3, item waveguides 12/13 are strip loaded waveguides), and the device further comprises an additional material positioned adjacent at least a portion of the waveguide (see col. 3, lines 58-63 and col. 4, lines 51-54), the material having a refractive index, such that an optical signal guided by the waveguide will at least partially couple into the material (see fig. 13, item polymer 41 filling the coupling region 14 between the waveguides 12 and 13 and light/signals are transferred through/via polymer41 between the waveguides 12 and 13; see abstract and col. 4, 51-54); the signal Pi being transmitted along said optical wavequide 12, and coupling in and out of said additional material (see fig. 13, item polymer 41 filling the coupling region 14 between the waveguides 12 and 13 and light/signals are transmitted in and out of polymer41 between the waveguides 12 and 13; see abstract and col. 4, 51-54); and the optical signal Pi being output at the device output Po (see fig. 1, item Po). Regarding the limitation the material having a higher refractive index than the waveguide, the arguments presented in rejection of claim 1, above, is analogous in rejection of claim 16.

Art Unit: 2877

•The statements advanced in claims 1-5, 7-10 and 13, above, as to the applicability and disclosure of Candross et al. are incorporated herein.

10. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combination of Candross et al. and Satoh (US 6,583,910)

Regarding claims 14-15, Chandross teaches a node (shown in at least fig. 1) in an communications network (this recitation has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951)) comprising: at least one optical input Pi for receiving an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); at least one optical output Po for the output of an optical signal (see fig. 1, item Pi; col. 2, lines 12-16); and an optical waveguide connected between said input Pi and said output Po (see item waveguide between input Pi and output Po); wherein said optical waveguide comprises a strip loaded waveguide 12/13 (see col. 5, lines 48-51; wherein, as shown in at least figures 1 and 3, item waveguides 12/13 are strip loaded waveguides), and the device further comprises an additional material positioned adjacent at least a portion of the waveguide (see col. 3, lines 58-63 and col. 4, lines 51-54), the material having a refractive index, such that an optical signal guided by the waveguide will at least partially couple into the material (see fig. 13, item polymer 41 filling the coupling region 14 between the waveguides 12 and 13 and light/signals are

Art Unit: 2877

transferred through/via polymer41 between the waveguides 12 and 13; see abstract and col. 4, 51-54).

However, Chandross does not specifically teach (a) wherein the above material having a higher refractive index than the waveguide; and (b) a plurality of nodes, a receiver for receiving a signal, a transmitter for the onward transmission of a signal, at least one of the received signal and the transmitted signal being an optical signal. Regarding limitation (a) the arguments presented in rejection of claim 1, above, are analogous in rejection of claim 14. The above limitation (b) is more specifically taught by Satoh. Satoh teaches a plurality of nodes 51 and 21 in communication network shown in fig, 15 that comprises a receiver 24 for receiving a signal, a transmitter 11 for the onward transmission of a signal, at least one of the received signal and the transmitted signal being an optical signal (shown in fig. 22, item output light). Thus, Sato provides an optical transceiver in which the transmitted optical signal is optimized (910': see col. 1, lines 14-17). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to modify Chandross's optical/communication node shown in fig. 1, by incorporating Satoh's transmitter 11 and receiver 24 having nodes 51/21 in order to transmit and receiving Chandross's input signal P0 so as to construct an optical communication system that includes the above limitations, since the resultant optical system would provide a tunable optical module with improved tuning sensitivity and response (823': see col. 1, lines 48-51).

Art Unit: 2877

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

11. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does. These references are:

Deacon 6324204 teaches waveguide structure having a material having a higher refractive

index than waveguides

Tada et al. 4832430 teaches strip loaded waveguide structure

Kenney et al. 5,970,186

Ranganath et al. 5119450 teaches strip loaded waveguide structure

Figueroa et al. 4360246 teaches strip loaded waveguide structure

Mizuuchi et al. 5991490 teaches strip loaded waveguide structure

Doumuki et al. 5459807 teaches strip loaded waveguide structure

Nakamura 5329601

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within these references as prior art.

Art Unit: 2877

Contact Information

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

December 30, 2003